Microplastics Regulatory Developments at the State Level

CASA

April 8, 2024 Jared Voskuhl CASA Director of Regulatory Affairs

Presentation Overview

- 1) CASA Background
- 2) California Regulatory Backdrop
- 3) California Regulatory Initiatives
- 4) Challenges of Sampling for Microplastics in Wastewater Media









CASA represents 135+ agencies and municipalities that provide wastewater collection, treatment, recycling, and resource recovery services





https://casaweb.org/about-us/workgroups/

https://casaweb.org/legislation/state-legislation/

https://casaweb.org/legal-and-regulatory/legal-advocacy/











Branches at the California Environmental Protection Agency

California Water Boards



California Air Resources Control Board



CalRecycle

Dept. of Toxic Substances

Control –

Safer

Consumer

Products



Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment Spr

California Department of Pesticides Regulation



Branches at the California Natural Resources Agency





Human Health Impacts: Extreme Uncertainties (2019)

"Although there is **insufficient information** to draw firm conclusions on the toxicity related to the physical hazard of plastic particles, particularly the nano size particles, **no reliable information suggests it is a concern** through drinking-water exposure."

– World Health Organization (2019)





From 10/19/20 Presentation by Dr. Scott Coffin





From 10/19/20 Presentation by Dr. Scott Coffin



SFEI's 2019 Report Microplastics in the Bay

"a plastic polymer that is 1% of the stormwater microplastic load would be three to five times greater than the entire wastewater microplastic load" p. 59







3) California Regulatory Initiatives



Regulatory Initiatives

SB 1263 & SB 1422

OPC WWTP Study (2020)

SWRCB Definition in Water (2021)

OPC Risk Assessment Framework (2021)

OPC Statewide MP Strategy (2022)

DTSC Candidate List

SWRCB 303(d) List (2024)

3) California Regulatory Initiatives



SB 1422 (2018)

Requires a definition of "microplastic" and four years of sampling by drinking water agencies





3) California Regulatory Initiatives



SB 1263 (2018)

Requires a statewide microplastics strategy that entails numerous critical elements for management.





CASA

SB 1263 (2018)

- (1) A **prioritized research plan** to support the development of risk assessments
- (2) Standardized methods for sampling, detecting, and characterizing microplastics
- (3) Characterization in the marine environment of ambient concentrations
- (4) Assessment of environmental impacts by particle age, size, shape, and type
- (5) Investigation of the sources and pathways relative importance
- (6) Development of a <u>risk assessment framework</u> for microplastics
- (7) Evaluation of source reduction and product stewardship techniques
- (8) Recommendations for **policy and statutory changes**



3) OPC WWTP Study (2020)



Wastewater treatment effectiveness study in June 2020



Available online <u>here</u>



3) OPC WWTP Study (2020)

CASA

- June 2020: Discussion with OPC staff about intent of project
- February 2021: Comment Letter to SCCWRP
- **Spring 2021:** Multiple CASA & SCCWRP Meetings to determine and Settle upon study design
- July December 2021: Pilot of Sampling plan, development of instructional video by Central San
- Winter 2022: Refinement of Sampling plan & Development of Add-on study
- Summer 2022 Winter 2023: Commencement of sampling
- April 2024: Final report forthcoming



3) SWRCB Adopts Definition (2021)





2021 Definition is used across environmental media, not just drinking water

Media Release

State Water Board creates world's first standardized methods for testing microplastics in drinking water

New standards seen as crucial to Board's four-year testing plan Contact: Blair Robertson

Blair.Robertson@Waterboards.ca.gov

December 6, 2021

SACRAMENTO – With concerns mounting over the potential impacts microplastics may have on the environment and human health, the State Water Resources Control Board, in partnership with the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, has developed the first standardized analytical methods in the world for the testing and

reporting of microplastics in drinking water.

Senate Bill 1422 requires the State Water Board to adopt a definition of microplastics and then create a standard methodology for the testing of drinking water for microplastics. The bill also requires four years of testing and reporting of microplastics in drinking water, including public disclosure of those results. Microplastics – tiny plastic particles that can find their way into water supplies and into

the air we breathe – have only recently received mainstream attention as a major environmental challenge. Despite their ubiquitous presence globally, much remains unknown about these particles that don't disappear over time. The board is already investigating the presence of another major group of long-lasting chemicals, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), which are also known as "forever chemicals". PFAS and microplastics are similar in their diversity of characteristics and chemical compositions, their inability to break down in the environment, and their widespread use and contamination. However, unlike PFAS, microplastics occur predominantly as particles in the environment, therefore requiring distinct and new approaches to

characterize and manage their contamination.

"Now that we can measure microplastics consistently from one lab to the next, we have the foundation to begin gathering data and determining the impacts, " said E. Joaquin Esquivel, chair of the State Water Board. "Having the first standardized method in the

3) OPC Risk Assessment Framework (2021)







Risk Assessment Framework published in April 2021



Available online <u>here</u>

3) OPC Statewide Strategy (2023)



Statewide Microplastics Strategy adopted in February 2022





Available online <u>here</u>

3) DTSC Candidate List (2023)



Proposal to Add Microplastics to the Candidate Chemicals List

Virtual Public Workshop: June 27, 2023, from 9:00 AM to Noon, Pacific Standard Time

WHAT IS DTSC PROPOSING?

The Department of Toxic Substances Control's (DTSC's) Safer Consumer Products (SCP) Program is proposing to add microplastics (MPs) to its Candidate Chemicals List.

WHY IS DTSC CONSIDERING THIS ACTION? There is growing concern over the potential adverse impacts of MPs released to the environment (Koelmans et al. 2019; Suaria et al. 2020; Wong et al. 2020; Brahney et al. 2021). MPs are ubiquitous in the environment, and both humans and animals are exposed to them. Further, there is an emerging body of evidence suggesting that these exposures may be harmful (Toussaint et al. 2019; Mohamed Nor et al. 2021; Coffin et al. 2022).

In its 2021-2023 Priority Product Work Plan, DTSC identified five special considerations for evaluating products, including the "potential for the product to release MPs to the environment during the use or end-of-life stages of the product's life cycle." DTSC is currently limited to considering MPs only if they are contained in products that are selected for evaluation because they contain other chemicals that are on the Candidate Chemicals List. Adding MPs to the Candidate Chemicals List would allow DTSC to evaluate products strictly because they contain MPs or may release MPs to the environment. This concept was presented to SCP's Green Ribbon Science Panel (GRSP) for consideration on November 5, 2021, and the panel generally expressed

support.

DO MPS MEET THE DEFINITION OF A CHEMICAL?

Yes. Within the framework of the SCP Regulations, "chemical" is broadly defined as "an organic or inorganic substance of a particular molecular identity, including any combination of such substances occurring, in whole or in part, as a result of a chemical reaction or occurring in nature, and any element, ion or uncombined radical, and any degradate, metabolite, or reaction product of a substance with a particular molecular identity."¹ The regulations specify that "molecular identity" may be described in terms of a substance's particle size, size distribution, and surface area. DTSC is basing its proposed definition for MPs (see below) on the polymeric structure and size distribution (< 5,000 microns) of MPs.

¹ See Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, section 69501.1(a)(20)(A)(1).

"There is growing concern over the potential adverse impacts of MPs released to the environment. MPs are ubiquitous in the environment, and both humans and animals are exposed to them. Further, there is an emerging body of evidence suggesting that these exposures may be harmful."

https://dtsc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/31/2023/04/Background-Document-Proposal-to-Add-Microplastics-to-the-Candidate-Chemical-List May272023.pdf

3) SWRCB Integrated Report – Thresholds for TMDLs (2024)

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FINAL STAFF REPORT 2024 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED REPORT: SURFACE WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENTS TO COMPLY WITH CLEAN WATER ACT SECTIONS 303(d) AND 305(b) SSESSMEN March 13, 2024 State of California Gavin Newsom, Governor California Environmental Protection Agency Yana Garcia, Secretary State Water Resources Control Board E. Joaquin Esquivel, Chair Dorene D'Adamo, Vice-Chair Nichole Morgan, Member Sean Maguire, Member Laurel Firestone, Member Eric Oppenheimer, Executive Director Jonathan Bishop, Chief Deputy Director CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

2024 Integrated Report Final Staff Report

"The threshold used to evaluate microplastic data is 5 microplastic particles per liter, which is an HC5 threshold for food dilution effects based on organismal and population scale toxicity test endpoints that was developed by an expert group as described in Mehinto et al. (2022) ... Before considering assessment of microplastics for estuarine or marine beneficial use for the 303(d) list, the development of an applicable, relevant, and environmentally representative threshold is necessary" (p. 85)

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/2023_202 4state_ir_reports/2024-integrated-report-final-staff-report.pdf#page=86



• <u>Collection Methods</u>: ASTM Method, autosamplers, inline filtration; ASTM method is very challenging and resource intensive

 Processing/Preparation Methods: ASTM Method, SCCWRP modification, and other established means for preparing wastewater samples

<u>Analytical Methods</u>: Optical microscopy, SWRCB's FTIR/RAMEN SOP, Py-GCMS; equipment for SWRCB SOP is expensive and method is time consuming

孕 Track Document



Last Updated: Aug	14,	2020
Last opdates		

Standard Practice for Collection of Water Samples with High, Medium, or Low Suspended Solids for Identification and Quantification of Microplastic Particles and Fibers

Significance and Use

Standard Active

5.1 When significant quantities of inorganic or organic material are present in water samples (high suspended solids), microplastic particles/fibers can be masked and the ability to conduct reliable identification and quantification analyses of the plastic particles/fibers can be impeded.

5.2 In order to quantify the occurrence of microplastic particles/fibers in wastewater influent (high suspended solids), the sampling procedure must be able to reliably collect samples at a constant flow over the desired 24-hour interval to reflect changes in diurnal flow. For wastewater influent the capture flow rate should be no less than 1 GPM over the 24-hour interval (approximately 1440 gal or 5450 L total) to minimize the problem with heterogeneity of the suspended solids and to reduce the standard error (the larger the sample size, the smaller the standard error).

5.3 In order to quantify the occurrence of microplastic particles/fibers in all other water samples with a lower content of inorganic or organic material present addressed by this practice (low to medium suspended solids), a minimum volume of 1500 L (approximately 400 gal) should be filtered through the appropriate filters or sieves to minimize potential issues with heterogeneity of suspended solids and to reduce the standard error (the larger the sample size, the smaller the standard error).

ASTM D8332-20

24-hour method for collecting samples in wastewater



Available online here









Standardized method is challenging: Requires 2 to 3 staff to monitor a sampling station over 24-hours.

Central San produced a training video for other pilot sites: <u>https://vimeo.com/user89502</u> 764/review/596817804/892cca 6866





2021 08 09 MOV rinsing the 20 um sieve



2021 08 09 MOV rinsing the 20 um sieve

- Rinsing the sieves with microplastics-free water
- Importance of field blanks



Efficacy of ASTM D8333-20 method Lab procedural blank (MAG water) Sum size fraction Before After Image: Second Sec

- Unable to remove interferences completely
- May even generate residues from Schweizer's reagent and enzymatic digestion











ASTM method

AAD method

Effect of H₂SO₄ concentration and contact time





California SOPs for FTIR and Raman Spectroscopy

Developed by SCCWRP from a microplastics methods evaluation study. SWB-MP2-rev1 Standard Operating Procedures for Extraction and Measurement by Raman Spectroscopy of Microplastic Particles in Drinking Water

Revised version May 27, 2022

Questions concerning this document should be addressed to:

Charles S. Wong, Ph.D. Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Authority 3535 Harbor Blvd. Suite 110 Costa Mesa CA 92626 714-355-3239 <u>charlesw@sccwrp.org</u>

and

Scott Coffin, Ph.D. State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I St. Sacramento, CA 95814 <u>scott.coffin@waterboards.ca.gov</u>



Collection, Processing, and Analytical Methodologies



From Scott Coffin Presentation on 9/7/21



Questions or to be Added to the CASA Regulatory Listserv



Jared Voskuhl jvoskuhl@casaweb.org (916) 694-9269

