

2022 Groundwater Monitoring Program

November 28, 2022

Agenda

- Comments Received
- Open Discussion
- Next Steps

Comments Received

Written Comments

- OCWD
- IEUA
- WMWD
- City of Riverside
- EVMWD
- SAWPA
- Tess Dunham

Verbal discussions

- Yucaipa Valley WD
- City of Corona

Comments Received

- Main focus was on Data Gaps analysis
- Clarifications on AWQ Pilot Study which GMZs for performing simplified and standard approaches
 - 4 GMZs pilot simplified approach
 - Bunker Hill-B, Perris-South, Riverside-A, Temescal
 - 7 GMZs compute with standard approach, with some modifications
 - Maximum Benefit GMZs (Beaumont, Yucaipa, San Timoteo, Chino North, Cucamonga, San Jacinto Upper Pressure, Elsinore)
- Add additional details on some of the Task Force feedback (Integrated Model, in particular)
- Redlines to refine regulatory language and interpretations

Comments Received

- Data Gaps analysis
 - Need more time to resolve responsible agencies
 - Request to separate out into its own document and further refine process can it be part of work product due by April 2024?
 - Is two years enough to time to complete the steps to determine if well construction will be needed or not?
 - More explicitly tie to Recycled Water Policy requirements

Next Steps

- Receive Regional Board Comments on 2021 Ambient Water Quality Study
- Receive confirmation from Regional Board that we've met December 1 deadline
- Start 2021 AWQ Pilot Study December 2022
- Collaborate with Regional Board staff to define phased approach to finalizing the Workplan documents
 - Finalize document(s) with Phased Approach
 - December/January discussion with Regional Board on phased approach to complete document
 - Refine and release updated draft(s) for Task Force review before submittal to Regional Board
- Resume discussion of refinements to data gaps analysis in February or March 2023 and scope out steps to complete (pending outcomes of phased discussion with RB staff)

Questions/Discussion

THANK YOU

WESTYOST.COM