Personnel Handbook Update May 19, 2020

Presented by: Karen Williams, DGM/CFO

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Commission approve the updated and revised Personnel Handbook.

- Current Personnel Handbook was updated and approved September 18, 2012
- Lagerlof LLP was hired to review and update the Personnel Handbook and all personnel-related policies.
- The review found the following:
 - Handbook was fairly comprehensive and generally well done
 - Need to coordinate stand-alone policies with those in the handbook
 - Handbook needs to be organized
 - Handbook needs to be updated to be consistent with current law and current practice

What Hasn't Changed

- Content of Personnel Handbook (just updated)
- Employee Benefits are the same as current handbook

Changes to the Personnel Handbook

- Created Sections and organized handbook in a logical manner
- Incorporated and consolidated stand-alone policies
- Updated to be consistent with current law
 - Wage and Hour Policies
 - Leave Policies (New Parent Leave 2018)
 - Intern Policy
- Clarified Retiree Medical Benefits section
- Expanded the Personal Appearance Policy

Changes to the Personnel Handbook

- Added
 - Reasonable Accommodations Lactation
 - Working Off-Site Policy (referenced only)
 - Political Activities
 - Smoking/Vaping
 - Workplace Violence
 - Appendix 3 Reimbursement Caps
- Removed
 - Cell Phone Purchase Policy
 - Travel and Expense Policy (referenced only)
 - Credit Card Policy (referenced only)

Appendix 3 - Reimbursement Caps

Added Appendix 3 for when reimbursement caps are changed only the appendix would need to be brought back for approval

| Expense Reimbursement | Current Handbook | Updated Handbook | Change |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Medical Cap | Not listed | \$1,781.93 | No change |
| Wellness Program | \$125.00 | \$150.00 | \$25.00 |
| Professional Memberships | \$200.00 | \$300.00 | \$100.00 |
| Work Boots | \$165.00 | \$175.00 | \$10.00 |
| Education Reimbursement | \$1,400.00 | \$1,400.00 | No change |

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Commission approve the updated and revised Personnel Handbook.



Inland Empire Brine Line Rate Resolution FY20-21

Carlos Quintero, Operations Manager Karen Williams, DGM,CFO SAWPA Commission| May 19, 2020 Item 6.B.

Recommendation

• That the SAWPA Commission adopt Resolution No. 2020-06 establishing the new Inland Empire Brine Line rates to be effective July 1, 2020 (FY20-21).



Brine Line Rate Components:

• Flow

- Per Million Gallons
- Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)
 - Per 1,000 lbs
- Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
 - Per 1,000 lbs
- Fixed Charges for Pipeline and Treatment and Disposal Capacity Owned
 - Per Million Gallons/Day

Brine Line Expenses



Brine Line Approved Budgeted Expenses

| Category | FY19-20 | FY20-21 | Change (%) | Change (\$) |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| OCSD Treatment and Disposal | 3,023,600 | 3,303,505 | 9.26 | 279,900 |
| OCSD SARI O&M | 75,000 | 80,000 | 6.67 | 5,000 |
| Engineering | 575,586 | 625,953 | 8.75 | 50,366 |
| Water Quality Sampling | 105,000 | 110,000 | 4.76 | 5,000 |
| Management / Support | 1,243,993 | 1,336,243 | 7.42 | 92,250 |
| SSMP Implementation | 2,045,099 | 2,113,432 | 3.34 | 68,333 |
| Pretreatment Program | 1,159,597 | 1,213,049 | 4.61 | 53,452 |
| Non-operating expenses | 2,895,027 | 2,835,027 | -2.07 | (60,000) |
| TOTAL | 11,122,902 | 11,617,209 | 4.4 | 494,307 |

Proposed Brine Line Rates

| Options | Flow (MG) | BOD - 1,000 lbs | TSS – 1,000 lbs | Fixed Pipe | Fixed T&D |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Current rates FY19-20 | \$979 | \$316 | \$442 | \$6,398 | \$12,985 |
| July 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020 (Proposed) | \$979 | \$316 | \$442 | \$6,398 | \$12,985 |
| January 1, 2021 – June 30, 2021 (Proposed) | \$1,018 | \$329 | \$460 | \$6,654 | \$13,505 |

Rate increase (%) from FY19-20

| Options | Flow (MG) | BOD - 1,000 lbs | TSS – 1,000 lbs | Fixed Pipe | Fixed T&D |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Current rates FY19-20 | \$979 | \$316 | \$442 | \$6,398 | \$12,985 |
| July 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020 (Proposed) | о% | о% | о% | о% | o% |
| January 1, 2021 – June 30, 2021 (Proposed) | 4% | 4.1% | 4.1% | 4% | 4% |

Impact to revenue

| Rate Period | Impact to revenue |
|---|-------------------|
| No rate increase (July 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020) | (\$290,852) |
| Rate increase (January 1, 2021 – June 30, 2021) | \$ 0 |
| TOTAL | (\$290,852) |

Indirect Dischargers

• Currently using a 2 tier system:

- Brine (< 100 mg/L)
- Non-Brine ($\geq 100 \text{ mg/L}$)
- Charges based on a per gallon base for brine tier and a per gallon base plus pounds of BOD and pounds of TSS for non-brine tier.

Rates for Indirect Dischargers

| Option | Brine Tier (< 100 mg/L) / gallon | Non-Brine Tier* (≥ 100 mg/L) / gallon | BOD/lb | TSS/lb |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------|---------|
| Current (FY19-20) | \$0.015 | \$0.015 | \$0.75 | \$0.716 |
| 7/1/20 – 12/31/20 | \$0.015 | \$0.015 | \$0.75 | \$0.716 |
| 1/1/21 – 6/30/21 | \$0.016 | \$0.016 | \$0.78 | \$0.745 |

*Non-brine tier charges the flow component plus any pounds of BOD and TSS.

Proposed Capacity Pool Lease Rates

| Rate Period | Flow (per gallon) | Additional BOD (per lb) | Additional TSS (per lb) |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Current (FY19-20) [250 mg/L BOD/TSS] | \$0.00253 | \$0.3923 | \$0.2405 |
| 7/1/20 - 12/31/20 | \$0.00253 | \$0.3923 | \$0.2405 |
| 1/1/20 - 6/30/21 | \$0.00263 | \$0.4080 | \$0.2501 |

Proposed Treatment & Disposal Surcharge Rates

| Rate Period | Flow (per gallon) | BOD (per lb) | TSS (per lb) |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Current (FY19-20) | \$0.0020 | \$0.3923 | \$0.2405 |
| 7/1/20 - 12/31/20 | \$0.0020 | \$0.3923 | \$0.2405 |
| 1/1/20 - 6/30/21 | \$0.0021 | \$0.4080 | \$0.2501 |

Proposed Permit Fees

| Type of Permit | FY19-20 Fee | Proposed FY20-21 Fee |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Direct Discharger | \$600 | \$600 |
| Indirect Discharger | \$300 | \$300 |
| Emergency Permits | \$1,100 | \$1,100 |
| Liquid Waste Hauler | \$250 | \$250 |

BRINE LINE RESERVES

Karen Williams, Assistant General Manager/CFO

SAWPA Future CIP

- Reach 4D Corrosion Rehabilitation
- Reach 4A Pine Avenue Siphon Protection / Replacement
- Reach V Baker Street Protection
- Reach V Indian Truck Trail Protection
- Reach V Air / Vacuum Valves Modification/Relocation
- Reach 4D Mission Tunnel Rehabilitation
- Reach V Access / Condition Study / Rehabilitation
- Reach IV Condition Study / Rehabilitation
- Capacity Management
- Hydraulic "Choke Points"
- OCSD Future CIP

Risks to System

- Portions of the system are aging
- Corrosion
- Unknown condition due to limited access to parts of the system
- Catastrophic failure due to earthquake
- Failure due to flooding / erosion / development

Reserve Balance as of March 31, 2020

| Reserve Account | 03/31/2020 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Pipeline Repair/Replacement Reserve | \$22,125,566 |
| OCSD Rehabilitation Reserve | 3,690,111 |
| OCSD Future Capacity Reserve | 1,809,234 |
| Self-Insurance Reserve | 4,311,780 |
| Flow Imbalance Reserve | 87,444 |
| Debt Service Reserve | 3,317,311 |
| Capacity Management Reserve | 11,817,079 |
| Rate Stabilization Reserve | 1,013,845 |
| Operating Reserve | 3,779,138 |
| Tot | al Reserves \$51,951,508 |

Reserve Balance Projected EOY

| Reserve Account | FYE 2020 | FYE 2021 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Pipeline Repair/Replacement Reserve | \$15,467,521 | \$15,728,983 |
| OCSD Rehabilitation Reserve | 3,591,892 | 3,591,892 |
| OCSD Future Capacity Reserve | 1,761,077 | 1,761,077 |
| Self-Insurance Reserve | 4,224,343 | 4,324,343 |
| Flow Imbalance Reserve | 85,103 | 85,103 |
| Debt Service Reserve | 3,928,308 | 3,417,032 |
| Capacity Management Reserve | 11,502,545 | 11,502,545 |
| Operating Reserve | 3,133,547 | 3,133,547 |
| Total Reserves | \$43,694,336 | \$43,544,522 |

Budgeted Contributions to Reserves

| Category | FYE 2020 | FYE 2021 | Difference | % Difference |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Pipeline Repair/Replacement | \$1,435,478 | \$1,810,491 | \$375,013 | 26.12% |
| Self-Insurance | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Debt Service | 1,708,750 | 1,708,750 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Total Contributions | \$3,244,338 | \$3,619,241 | \$375,013 | 11.56% |

Brine Line Operating Reserve

The Brine Line Operating Reserve was established to cover temporary cash flow deficiencies that occur as a result of timing differences between the receipt of operating revenues and expenditure requirements.

- Balance as of 03/31/20 \$3,770,138
- Target Level Funding shall be targeted at a minimum amount equal to 90 days (i.e., 25%)
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve is utilized as needed to pay outstanding Brine Line Enterprise expenditures prior to receipt of anticipated operating revenues.
- Target level for:
 - FYE 2020 \$2,056,969
 - FYE 2021 \$2,195,544

Rate Stabilization Reserve

Established to mitigate the effects of occasional shortfalls in revenue. Revenue shortfalls result from a number of events such as weather factors (wet weather or drought events and natural disasters), increased water conservation, poor regional economic conditions, and unplanned or unexpectedly large rate adjustments/increases.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$1,013,845
- Target Level There is no minimum balance for this reserve. This will be looked at as part of the Asset Criticality Assessment.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve is utilized as needed to pay revenue shortfalls that result from conditions described above.

Pipeline Replacement Reserve

Established to provide capital replacement funding as the Brine Line system's infrastructure (pipe) deteriorates over its expected useful life.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$22,125,566
- FYE 2021 Contribution of \$1,810,491
- Target Level The most recent Brine Line Financial Study and Rate Model have identified a minimum target balance of \$10.0 million for this reserve. Target minimum and maximums will be developed during the asset criticality assessment.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve account is used to pay for approved Capital Improvement Projects, emergency replacement, and in certain circumstances, one-time operating expenditures related to specific projects. (Funding would be provided through use of this reserve rather than rate increases.)

Capital Project Funding – Use of Reserves

| Project | FYE 2020 | FYE 2021 |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Brine Line Protection | \$5,041,923 | \$1,466,878 |
| Reach IV-D Corrosion Repairs | 76,211 | 82,151 |
| Total | \$5,118,134 | \$1,549,029 |

Capital Projects

- Fund 320 Brine Line Protection
 - OCSD Rock Removal Project
 - Protection from stormwater/erosion
 - Reach IV-D MAS modifications
 - Alcoa Dike protection/relocation
- Fund 327 Reach IV-D Corrosion Repair
 - Evaluation of pipeline corrosion

CIP versus Reserve Contributions

| Project | FYE 2020 | FYE 2021 |
|---|---------------|--------------|
| Pipeline Replacement Reserve Beg. Balance | \$22,597,372 | \$18,914,716 |
| Contributions to Reserve | 1,435,478 | 1,810,491 |
| Use of Reserves for CIP | (5,118,134) | (1,549,029) |
| Pipeline Replacement Reserve Balance | \$18,914,716 | \$19,176,178 |
| | | |
| Net Change from Contributions/Use | (\$3,682,656) | \$261,462 |

OCSD Rehabilitation Reserve

This reserve was established to fund SAWPA's share of capital costs associated with its proportionate share of capacity right ownership in the SARI Line maintained by OCSD.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$3,690,111
- Target Level The most recent Financial Study has identified a minimum target level of \$1.75 annually (adjusted for inflation). This needs to be reviewed based on OCSD CIP.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve is utilized as needed to pay when due SAWPA's share of OCSD SARI Line capital costs.

Debt Retirement Reserve

This reserve was initially established with funds received from SAWPA's member agencies for the purchase of pipeline capacity (30 MGD) in the Brine Line system to provide future funding on debt service payments for SRF loans required to build the Brine Line system. Treasury-strips were purchased with maturities to match annual principal and interest payments due on the long-term debt associated with the State loans. This reserve will be maintained and/or adjusted at levels set forth in future "bond covenants" or other debt obligation instruments as approved by the SAWPA Commission.

• Balance as of 03/31/2020 - \$3,317,311
Debt Retirement Reserve

- Target Level The minimum balance in this reserve should be sufficient to cover anticipated debt service payments as they come due. The balance in this reserve should reflect debt service obligations for which existing or projected revenues are insufficient to cover scheduled principal and interest payments.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve – This reserve is utilized to pay when due debt service payments on SAWPA's obligations.

Debt Service Payments

| Debt | 2020 | 2021 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Reach V Construction – SRF Loan 1 – 4 | \$1,126,278 | \$1,126,278 |
| Reach IV-A & B Capital Repair – SRF Loan | 1,044,273 | 1,044,273 |
| Reach V Capital Repair – SRF Loan | 664,476 | 664,476 |
| Total Debt Service Payments | \$2,835,027 | \$2,835,027 |

Debt Service Funding

| Debt | Interest Rate | Final Payment | Funding Source |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Reach V Construction | 2.7% | 10/05/21 | T-Strips/Investments |
| Reach IV-A & B Capital Repair | 2.6% | 12/29/32 | Rates |
| Reach V Capital Repair | 1.9% | 03/31/48 | Rates |

\$1,708,749 from rates

Debt Service Covenants of Financing Agreement

• Debt Test

- Net revenues must be 1.2 times the highest year of debt service
 - \$2,050,500 minimum net revenues
- Net revenues must be 1.1 times all debt
 - \$3,118,530 minimum net revenues
- FYE 2021 Budget Net Revenues are \$3,619,241 (only have \$500,711 difference)

Reserve Fund

- Must be equal to 1 year's debt service
 - \$1,708,750

OCSD Future Capacity Reserve

This reserve is established to provide future funding as it becomes necessary for SAWPA to purchase additional treatment capacity (minimum 1 MGD increments) in the OCSD treatment plant facility. As incremental treatment capacity purchases are made from member agencies, funds will be deposited into this reserve account which can then be used to purchase treatment capacity from OCSD.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$1,809,234
- Target Level There is no minimum balance for this reserve.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve may only be utilized to purchase additional treatment capacity in the OCSD plant as approved by the SAWPA Commission.

Self Insurance Reserve

This reserve was originally established to provide insurance liability, and worker's compensation claims. The reserve level, combined with SAWPA's existing insurance policies, should adequately protect SAWPA and its member agencies in the event of a loss or claim.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$4,311,780
- FYE 2021 Contribution of \$100,000
- Target Level
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve shall be utilized to cover out-of-pocket insurance losses experienced by SAWPA. Any reimbursement received by SAWPA from the insurance company as a result of submitted claim shall be deposited back into the reserve as replenishment for the loss.
- Contribution to Reserves Contributions of \$100,000, annually.

Self Insurance Reserve

Insurance Policies:

- Commercial Property, General Liability, Umbrella Excess, and Auto
 - Through CalMutuals JPRIMA
 - \$5,000 deductible per incident
 - Pipelines not covered
- Environmental Legal Liability (Pollution)
 - Through Aspen Specialty Insurance Company
 - \$25,000 deductible per incident
 - \$5,000,000 limit of liability per incident
 - Actual cost of pipeline damage not covered

Capacity Management Reserve

This reserve is established to set aside 100% of the funding derived from pipeline capacity sales to provide funds for future capacity needs within the Brine Line. As the pipeline reaches capacity, other alternatives will be needed to ensure the ability to discharge and achieve salt balance within the Santa Ana Watershed.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$11,817,079
- In 2006, sold additional pipeline capacity of 2.568 MGD
 - WMWD purchased 1.0 MGD for \$3,750,000
 - EMWD purchased 1.568 MGD for \$5,880,000
- •= \$9,630,000 total sales (2006)
- \$266,755 EnerTech capacity lease fees (2009)
- \$1,920,324 interest earnings

Capacity Management Reserve

- Target Level Given the nature of this reserve, there is no required minimum or maximum level. 100% of the funding derived from pipeline capacity sales should be deposited and maintained in this reserve.
- Events and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserves – This reserve is to be utilized to cover cost required to manage capacity within the pipeline, including costs to further concentrate Brine Line flows, reduction of flows to meet capacity needs, additional pipeline capacity, additional CIP, and other changes as appropriate.

Flow Imbalance Reserve

This reserve is established to set aside funds in the event that a meter error occurs and the discharger is over-billed. Each month, meter readings are read for each discharger and the total for all dischargers is compared to the total at meter S-01. When the total for all dischargers exceeds the total at meter S-01, the difference will be calculated at the current flow rate and contributed to the reserve account.

No budgeted contributions to this reserve.

- Balance as of 03/31/2020 \$87,444
- Initially established by adding \$1.00 to the flow rate for 2 years.
- Target Level Based on SAWPA's practice, a minimum of \$50,000 should be maintained for this reserve.
- Event and Conditions Prompting the Use of the Reserve This reserve is utilized to refund dischargers in the event that a meter error occurs and the discharger is over-billed.

Rate Model - Reserves

- Brine Line Rate Model Updated in 2018 by Raftelis
- Raftelis recommended additional studies to determine Pipeline Replacement Reserve Min and Max
 - Assess the replacement cost of the brine line
 - Completed Estimated value is \$350 million
 - Conduct an asset criticality assessment

Recommendation

• That the SAWPA Commission adopt Resolution No. 2020-06 establishing the new Inland Empire Brine Line rates to be effective July 1, 2020 (FY20-21).

Questions??

Reserve Balance Projected EOY

| Reserve Account | FYE 2020 | FYE 2021 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Pipeline Repair/Replacement Reserve | \$15,467,521 | \$15,728,983 |
| OCSD Rehabilitation Reserve | 3,591,892 | 3,591,892 |
| OCSD Future Capacity Reserve | 1,761,077 | 1,761,077 |
| Self-Insurance Reserve | 4,224,343 | 4,324,343 |
| Flow Imbalance Reserve | 85,103 | 85,103 |
| Debt Service Reserve | 3,928,308 | 3,417,032 |
| Capacity Management Reserve | 11,502,545 | 11,502,545 |
| Operating Reserve | 3,133,547 | 3,133,547 |
| Total Reserves | \$43,694,336 | \$43,544,522 |

OCSD – SAWPA Rates (FY15 through FY21)

| OCSD - SAWPA Rates | FY 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 (P) |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Flow OCSD (per MGD) | \$182.74 | \$178.94 | \$175.17 | \$175.68 | \$187.00 | \$221.00 | \$221 |
| Flow SAWPA | \$777.00 | \$817.00 | \$858.00 | \$901.00 | \$946.00 | \$979.00 | \$1,018 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| BOD OCSD (per 1,000 lbs) | \$290.16 | \$284.12 | \$278.14 | \$278.96 | \$285.00 | \$320.00 | \$320 |
| BOD SAWPA | \$295.00 | \$301.00 | \$307.00 | \$307.00 | \$307.00 | \$316.00 | \$335 |
| | | | | | | | |
| TSS OCSD (per 1,000 lbs) | \$404.60 | \$396.19 | \$387.85 | \$388.99 | \$410.00 | \$472.00 | \$472 |
| TSS SAWPA | \$411.00 | \$420.00 | \$429.00 | \$429.00 | \$429.00 | \$442.00 | \$469 |
| OCSD SAWDA Pato Ingroado (EV15 through EV21) | | | | | | | |

OCSD – SAWPA Rate Increase (FY15 through FY21)

| OCSD - SAWPA Rates | FY 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 (P) |
|--------------------|----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Flow OCSD | 12.39% | -2.08% | -2.11% | 0.29% | 6.44% | 18.18% | o% |
| Flow SAWPA | 5.57% | 5.15% | 5.02% | 5.01% | 4.99% | 3.49% | 4% |
| | | | | | | | |
| BOD OCSD | 16.34% | -2.08% | -2.10% | 0.29% | 2.17% | 12.28% | о% |
| BOD SAWPA | 10.90% | 2.03% | 1.99% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.93% | 4% |
| | | | | | | | |
| TSS OCSD | 9.30% | -2.08% | -2.11% | 0.29% | 5.40% | 15.12% | о% |
| TSS SAWPA | 4.05% | 2.19% | 2.14% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.03% | 4% |

OCSD – SAWPA Rates (FY15 through FY20)

| OCSD - SAWPA Rates | FY 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 (P) |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Flow OCSD (per MG) | \$182.74 | \$178.94 | \$175.17 | \$175.68 | \$187.00 | \$221.00 | \$221.00 |
| Increase from previous FY | 12.39% | -2.08% | -2.11% | 0.29% | 6.44% | 18.18% | о% |
| Flow SAWPA | \$777.00 | \$817.00 | \$858.00 | \$901.00 | \$946.00 | \$979.00 | \$1,018 |
| Increase from previous FY | 5.57% | 5.15% | 5.02% | 5.01% | 4.99% | 3.49% | 4% |
| · | | | | | | | |
| BOD OCSD (per 1,000 lbs) | \$290.16 | \$284.12 | \$278.14 | \$278.96 | \$285.00 | \$320.00 | \$320.00 |
| Increase from previous FY | 16.34% | -2.08% | -2.10% | 0.29% | 2.17% | 12.28% | o% |
| BOD SAWPA | \$295.00 | \$301.00 | \$307.00 | \$307.00 | \$307.00 | \$316.00 | \$329.00 |
| Increase from previous FY | 10.90% | 2.03% | 1.99% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.93% | 4/1% |
| | | | | | | | · |
| TSS OCSD (per 1,000 lbs) | \$404.60 | \$396.19 | \$387.85 | \$388.99 | \$410.00 | \$472.00 | \$472.00 |
| Increase from previous FY | 9.30% | -2.08% | -2.11% | 0.29% | 5.40% | 15.12% | o% |
| TSS SAWPA | \$411.00 | \$420.00 | \$429.00 | \$429.00 | \$429.00 | \$442.00 | \$460.00 |
| Increase from previous FY | 4.05% | 2.19% | 2.14% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.03% | 4.1% |





Feasibility Review

- State of Water in the Western USA
- SAWPA Climatology
- Seeding Methods & Design
- Precipitation & Streamflow Estimates
- Conclusion

State of Water in the Western USA





Take-Aways

- Climate change is happening
- No need for fear if we prepare
 - Conservation Efforts
 - Infrastructure Improvements
 - Regulatory Changes
 - Scientific Advancements



SAWPA Climatology



Precipitation Trends

Seasonality



Big Bear Lake 1961-2019 monthly precipitation

Elevation

There is a strong correlation between elevation and average precipitation. Mountainous areas (above 2,000-3,000 feet) experience 20-40 inches of precipitation per year. Lower elevation coastal areas receive about 10-20 inches of precipitation per year.

Most snowfall occurs above 5,000ft with areas above 7,000 ft often receiving greater than 100 inches annually (retaining 25-35 inches of snowpack).

Designation of Target Areas



Understanding Storm Winds and Movement





Seeding Methods & Design



Ground Based Seeding Methods

CNG's (Cloud Nuclei Generators)



- Ideal for orographic lift (winds caused by land barriers)
- Create a continuous plume
- Inexpensive to install and operate

AHOGS (Automated High Output Ground Seeding) Systems



- Depend on strong convective storm attributes (turbulence)
- Deliver a higher concentration of Silver lodide rapid release
- Operated remotely

Ground Based Seeding Locations



Ground Based Seeding Dispersion Model



Aerial Seeding



Technical Feasibility

- Unlike commercial air traffic that quickly leaves an area of high traffic, cloud seeding aircraft occupy the same airspace for an extended period of time
- Flight tracks for the eastern target areas are more likely to receive FAA approvals during times of high traffic, and during periods of storm activity.

Economic Feasibility

- Land barriers must be of an appropriate size to benefit from aerial seeding
- Annual runoff must support the investment of an aerial component
- Preference should be given to areas with greater potential increases

Aerial Seeding Flight Path



Aerial Seeding Dispersion Model



Precipitation & Streamflow Estimates



Estimated Annual Streamflow



Estimated Increases in Seasonal Precipitation

| Target Area | Seasonal | Percent Increase |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Increase (inches) | |
| NW | 0.41 | 3.5% |
| NE (ground only) | 0.49 | 4.1% |
| NE (air & ground) | 0.89 | 7.3% |
| SW | 0.59 | 3.7% |
| SE | 0.49 | 4.5% |

- 58 storms over 5 seasons were considered, 47 of the 58 were found to be seedable
- Of the seedable events 21% were considered seedable by aircraft only, and 79% were found to be seedable by aircraft or ground based systems
- Estimated seasonal increases to precipitation were determined for each target area by adding the potential increase for each individual storm event

Estimated Increases in Seasonal Streamflow

| Target Area | Average Natural | Expected Average |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Streamflow (AF) | Streamflow Increase (AF) |
| NW | 25,000 | 2,043 |
| NE (ground only) | 65,000 | 4,330 |
| NE (air & ground) | 65,000 | 7,772 |
| SW | 5,000 | 447 |
| SE | 10,000 | 1,373 |

- Regressions (mathematical models) between **precipitation** and **streamflow** were developed based on long-term data sets from various time periods, some beginning as early as the 1920s.
- The R-value for the derived regressions were all close to .8 suggesting a strong correlation between precipitation and runoff for all 4 target areas. (80% confidence threshold)
- The estimated precipitation increases derived from the former portion of the study were applied to the mathematical models for each target area, to determine the effective increases in streamflow.
Total Projected Increases

| Target Area | Seasonal Precip. | Percent | Avg. Natural | Streamflow | Percent |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| | Increase (inches) | Increase | Streamflow (AF) | Increase (AF) | Increase |
| NW | 0.41 | 3.5% | 25,000 | 2,043 | 8.2% |
| NE (ground) | 0.49 | 4.1% | 65,000 | 4,330 | 6.7% |
| NE (air & ground) | 0.89 | 7.3% | 65,000 | 7,772 | 12.0% |
| SW | 0.59 | 3.7% | 5,000 | 447 | 9.0% |
| SE | 0.49 | 4.5% | 10,000 | 1,373 | 13.7% |
| | | | TOTAL | 11,635 | 11.1% |

These estimates are largely conservative. Calculating increases from cloud seeding activity is not an exact science, we have decades of research, but every storm is unique. As the purpose of this study is determine economic and technical feasibility, we felt an obligation to err on the side of caution.

Areas where we chose to be conservative:

- We do not have access to runoff data for all natural streams and waterways. Rather than adding a reasonable increase to each target area for untracked runoff, we assumed runoff only occurs in waterways with active gauges.
- Research performed in the 1970's suggests rainfall increases of 20% are achievable when seeding organized convective bands. Though we agree a 20% increase is fathomable, circumstances would have to be truly ideal in order to achieve such dramatic results, are projections were significantly more modest.

Conclusion



Preliminary Benefit to Cost Assessment

- Depending on the method of seeding, the combined total estimated runoff increase from the four target areas is between 8,393 AF and 11,835 AF for an "average year."
- With a typical retail value around \$1,000/AF. The additional runoff from cloud seeding would be valued between \$8,000,000 and \$12,000,000 (if fully allocated).
- Based on these values we predict a benefit to cost ratio upwards of 15:1 far greater than ASCE 2016 Guidelines of at least a 5:1 benefit to cost ratio.
- More specific estimates will be made under Task 4 when detailed estimated costs for a program are prepared.

We attest to the strong potential gain from a well executed weather modification program and endorse a continuation in the feasibility study.