



Probable Provisions of the 2020 Basin Plan Amendment

Element in Basin Plan Amendment	CEQA/Econ. Required	Notes
1) Adopt revised and updated TDS/TIN Wasteload Allocations (incl. any allocations of assimilative capacity where necessary and appropriate).	Yes	Wasteload allocations to no impose more stringent effluent limits on any discharger; therefore, CEQA/Econ should be relative straightforward.
2) Add definition of "Baseflow" for water quality objectives in SAR-Reach 3.	No	Memorializing, not changing, the current approach; clarifies compliance assessment.
3) Add footnote to Table 4-1 to identify objectives that are antidegradation targets not use-protection thresholds.	No	Documenting original basis for some water quality objectives; exceedances do not imply that beneficial uses are impaired.
4) Add text explaining that purpose of some surface objectives was/is to protect MUN use in underlying groundwater basins.	No	Documenting basis for TIN/TDS objectives in MUN-exempt surface waterbodies; no substantive changes to water quality standards or implementation requirements.
5) Add text describing when and where Increment-of-Use limits should be applied.	No	Clarifying, not changing, existing permitting practice.
6) Revise TIN objectives in Table 4-1 to require compliance based on analyzing Total Nitrogen using filtered samples.	Yes	Making all other stream segments consistent with SAR-R3. If it is appropriate there, it is appropriate everywhere for the same reasons.
7) Add text to Chapter 5 (Implementation) identifying all dischargers required to participate in AWQ & WLAM updates and Annual Report of SAR Water Quality (incl. all other permittees).	No	Memorializing, not changing, current permits and practice. At present, only IEUA, EMWD, YVWD and Beaumont are named in Basin Plan. Others have it in their permit. Many not yet included (MS4, CAFO, Deminimus, & Gen. Orders).
8) Approve a Drought & Conservation Policy.	Yes	Authorizes use of long-term averages and offsets in permits.
9) Add text confirming the primacy of TDS objectives, over individual salt ion objectives, for implementing state antidegradation policy.	Yes	This was agreed to in 2004 but was accidentally omitted from the BPA; it represents long-standing Regional Board practice but must be institutionalized in the Basin Plan.
10) Revise Chapter 5 (Implementation) to merge requirements of IWRW Cooperative Agreement w/ BMPTF.	Uncertain	Only significant change is frequency of model updates; was every 5 years, will be every 10 as part of WLAM.